# KINGSBOROUGH COMMUNITY COLLEGE The City University of New York

## CURRICULUM DATA TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DEPARTMENT English	<u>DATE</u> 3/19/15
Title of Course or Degree: English 82 "Bridge Co	ourse in Intensive Writing"
Change(s) Initiated: (Please check)	
□ Letter of Intent □ Ch   □ New Certificate Proposal □ Ch   □ New Degree Proposal □ Ch   □ New Course □ Ch   X New 82 Course □ Ch   □ Deletion of Course □ Ch	ange in Degree or Certificate Requirements ange in Degree Requirements (adding concentration) ange in Pre/Co-Requisite ange in Course Designation ange in Course Description ange in Course Titles, Numbers, Credits &/or Hours ange in Academic Policy
PLEASE ATTACH PERTINENT MATERIAL TO II	LUSTRATE AND EXPLAIN ALL CHANGES
I. <u>DEPARTMENTAL ACTION</u> Action by Department and/or Departmental	
Date approved 3,20.15 Signature, Co	
Signature, Department Chairperson: <u>Elec</u>	Ferrelli
one of the following options:	vard to College-wide Curriculum Committee exercising ed to department with comments
Signature, Provost:	Date:
III. CURRICULUM SUB-COMMITTEE RECOM	IMENDATIONS:
A. Approved B. Table	d  (no action will be taken by Curriculum Committee)
Recommendations (if any):	
	Date:
	······································
IV. COLLEGE-WIDE CURRICULUM COMM	ITTEE ACTION
Committee to act within 30 days of receipt, exe	reising one of the following options:
A. <b>Approved</b>	
B. <b>Tabled</b>	•
C. Not Approved $\square$ (Department notified	(bea)
Signature, Chairperson of Curriculum Com	mitteeDate:

Revised/Dec.2012

## KINGSBOROUGH COMMUNITY COLLEGE THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

### FORMAT FOR PRESENTATION OF CURRICULUM PROPOSALS

1. DEPARTMENT, COURSE NUMBER AND TITLE:

**English Department** 

English 82

ENG 82 - BRIDGE COURSE in INTENSIVE WRITING

2. Does this course meet distribution Requirements for Groups I-V? If so, which group?

No

3. TRANSFERABILITY OF THIS COURSE. DESCRIBE HOW THIS COURSE TRANSFERS (required for A.S. degree course). If A.A.S. degree course and does not transfer, justify role of course, i.e., describe other learning objectives met:

Non-transferrable course

4. BULLETIN DESCRIPTION OF COURSE:

ENG 82 –BRIDGE COURSE in INTENSIVE WRITING: a pre-freshman course for students who have not succeeded in any English department writing and University measure at the conclusion of the fall and/or spring English 91 or English 92 course. This six-week modular continuation of the fall/spring semester English 91/English 92 courses focuses on the development of critical reading and thinking abilities through instruction and intensive practice in writing. Students will read, analyze, and write about interdisciplinary materials in preparation for required writing assignments in subsequent English courses and in typical college courses. At the end of the course, students will retake the English department and University writing measures.

- 5. NUMBER OF WEEKLY CLASS HOURS (please indicate the number of hours per week spent in a lab, hours spent on site doing fieldwork, hours of supervision and hours in classroom-- if applicable):

  Summer/Winter: 8 Classroom/Contact Hours
- 6. Number of Credits:

4 Equated Credits

7. Course Prerequisites and Co-requisites

A. PREREQUISITES:

English 91 or English 92 Fall/Spring

8. Brief Rationale to justify proposed course to include:

A. ENROLLMENT SUMMARIES, IF PREVIOUSLY OFFERED AS AN 82

Students who do not pass any English 91 or English 92 writing measure at the conclusion of the fall/spring semester will voluntarily enroll in the six-week modular INTENSIVE WRITING course, a continuation of their 91/92 courses. Students who choose not to enroll in the English 82 course during the module will be required to repeat English 91 or 92 during the fall/spring semester.

**B. PROJECTED ENROLLMENT** 

100 students

C. CLASS LIMITS

20 students per class

### D. FREQUENCY COURSE IS LIKELY TO BE OFFERED

The six-week modular English 82 course will be offered every winter and every summer. E. ROLE OF COURSE IN DEPARTMENT'S CURRICULUM AND COLLEGE'S MISSION Rationale

This course will foster essential proficiencies for college level writing across the curriculum; emphasize comprehension; demonstrate the recursive process of writing, and cultivate active engagement with a text to promote a sense of authority and agency among English 82 students. This module re-enforces the learning goals and desired outcomes of the fall/spring English 91/92 courses and to prepare students to pass the department writing measures and the University writing measure (CATW) so that they can advance to English92, 93, or Freshman English (English 12) in the regular twelve-week semester.

This new six-week summer/winter course will offer a condensed, intensive immersion in a writing workshop. At least one full-length text will be covered with accompanying short expository pieces along with continued practice for taking the department and University writing measures.

- 9. LIST OF COURSES, IF ANY, TO BE WITHDRAWN WHEN COURSE(S) IS (ARE) ADOPTED: Not Applicable
- 10. IF COURSE IS AN INTERNSHIP OR INDEPENDENT STUDY OR THE LIKE, PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION AS TO HOW THE STUDENTS WILL EARN THE CREDITS AWARDED. THE CREDITS AWARDED SHOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH STUDENTS' EFFORTS REQUIRED IN A TRADITIONAL CLASSROOM SETTING:

Not Applicable

- 11. PROPOSED TEXT BOOK(S) AND/OR OTHER REQUIRED INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIAL(S): The curriculum of this condensed, intensive immersion in writing entails daily low-stakes writing, group workshops, peer- reviews exercises, whole class discussions, and one-on-one teacher conferences. At least one full-length text (e.g., The Color of Water, The Women of Brewster Place, All Souls, The Bluest Eye, Eating Animals, Just Kids) be read along with accompanying short inter disciplinary expository readings for a continued practice for taking department writing and University writing exams.
- 12. REQUIRED COURSE FOR MAJORS AND/OR AREA OF CONCENTRATION? (If course is required, please submit a separate transmittal with a degree requirement sheet noting the proposed revisions, including where course fits into degree requirements, and what course(s) will be removed as a requirement for the degree. NYSED guidelines of 45 crs. of Liberal Arts coursework for an A.A. degree, 30 crs. for an A.S. degree and 20 crs. of Liberal Arts for an A.A.S. degree must be adhered to for all 60 cr. programs). Not Applicable
- 13. IF OPEN ONLY TO SELECTED STUDENTS (specify):

This winter/summer English 82 course will be open only to English 91/92 students who have failed ALL (Department and University) writing measures during the fall /spring semesters.

## 14. EXPLAIN WHAT STUDENTS WILL KNOW AND BE ABLE TO DO UPON COMPLETION OF COURSE: Desired Outcomes English 82 Winter/Summer Module:

- Acknowledge writing as an active transaction between reader and text;
- Increase attention span and develop the patience to stick with low-stakes writing through formal writing;
- Demonstrate an understanding of texts through summary;
- Create thesis statements supported with appropriate evidence;
- Accurately quote from texts;
- Demonstrate comprehension of texts through summary;
- Paraphrase to demonstrate comprehension of specific passages;
- Synthesize information from texts and use suitable personal experiences as support;
- Organize relevant ideas in paragraphs that progress logically through the use of appropriate transitions;
- Use appropriate language, syntax, grammar through careful proofreading and editing;
- Students will be able pass the English Department writing measure and the CATW.
- 15. METHODS OF TEACHING —e.g., LECTURES, LABORATORIES, AND OTHER ASSIGNMENTS FOR STUDENTS, INCLUDING ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: DEMONSTRATIONS, GROUP WORK, WEBSITE OR E-MAIL INTERACTIONS AND/OR ASSIGNMENTS, PRACTICE IN APPLICATION OF SKILLS: Students will engage in intensive reading of texts of various lengths, levels of difficulty, in multiple genres as a springboard for their writing projects. Methods of teaching will consist of a combination of research-based writing to learn activities, low-stakes activities, including freewriting, journaling, text-rendering, double-entry notebooks, peer exchanges, top quoting, read alouds, and so forth.

### 16. ASSIGNMENTS TO STUDENTS:

Assignments will correspond with the activities listed in #15, including journal responses, double and triple entry notebooks, literature circles, read alouds and may other research-based writing strategies and approaches.

### 17. DESCRIBE METHOD OF EVALUATING LEARNING SPECIFIED IN #15:

Learning will be evaluated according to the following: Participation including punctuality, attendance, class discussions, class work (all low-stakes/in-class writing), and group work (10%); homework assignments (10%); quizzes (20%); 2 multiple-draft essays (30%); in class-essay (15%); department final exam (15%).

18. TOPICAL COURSE OUTLINE (WHICH SHOULD BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE REGARDING TOPICS COVERED, LEARNING ACTIVITIES AND ASSIGNMENTS):

Please see attached outline.

## 19. SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SOURCE MATERIALS:

Please see attached

Please contact your Department Chairperson or Associate Dean Loretta DiLorenzo at the Office of Academic Affairs x5328, if you require any assistance completing a course proposal according to this format. Copies of this format are available electronically.

# 18. TOPICAL COURSE OUTLINE (WHICH SHOULD BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE REGARDING TOPICS COVERED, LEARNING ACTIVITIES AND ASSIGNMENTS):

#### Week One:

Read 25% of assigned text- in class and for homework

Quiz

In-class reading of supplemental article(s)

Teachers will employ a variety of low-stakes writing in-class and for homework including: summarizing; paraphrasing, incorporating quotations, making connections using personal experiences and outside observations, top-quoting, critical thinking exercises, incorporating group work, and holding one-on-one conferences

Draft one of multiple-drafted essay #1

### Week Two:

Read next 25% of text – in class and homework

Quiz

In-class reading of supplemental article

Teachers will employ a variety of low-stakes writing in-class and for homework including: summarizing; paraphrasing, incorporating quotations, making connections using personal experiences and outside observations, top-quoting, critical thinking exercises, incorporating group work, and holding one-on-one conferences

Draft two of multiple-drafted essay #1

### Week Three:

Read next 25% of text in class and homework

Ouiz

In-class reading of supplemental article

Teachers will employ a variety of low-stakes writing in-class and for homework including: summarizing; paraphrasing, incorporating quotations, making connections using personal experiences and outside observations, top-quoting, critical thinking exercises, incorporating group work, and holding one-on-one conferences

Draft three of multiple drafted essay #1 (peer-editing)

Draft one of multiple-drafted essay #2

#### Week Four:

Read next 25% of text- in class and homework

Ouiz

Teachers will employ a variety of low-stakes writing in-class and for homework including: summarizing; paraphrasing, incorporating quotations, making connections using personal experiences and outside observations, top-quoting, critical thinking exercises, incorporating group work, and holding one-on-one conferences

Draft two of multiple-drafted essay #2

CATW review and practice

## Week Five:

Finish text

Quiz

In-class reading of supplemental article

Teachers will employ a variety of low-stakes writing in-class and for homework including: summarizing; paraphrasing, incorporating quotations, making connections using personal experiences and outside observations, top-quoting, critical thinking exercises, incorporating group work, and holding one-on-one conferences

Draft three of multiple-draft essay #2 (peer-editing)

CATW review and practice

### Week Six:

Multiple-draft essay #1 due Multiple-draft essay #2 due Students will write their in-class essay CATW review and practice Final Exam review and practice

#### WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ACT, Inc. National Curriculum Survey, Iowa City, IA. 2009.
- . Rigor At Risk: Reaffirming Quality n the High School Core Curriculum Iowa City IA: 2006.
- Atwell, Nancy. In the Middle. Boynton/Cook Heinemann: Portsmouth, NH. 1998.
- Bartholomae, David, and Anthony Petrosky. Facts, Artifacts, and Counterfacts: Theory And Method for a Reading and Writing Course. Upper Montclair, NJ: Boynton/Cook, 1986.
- . Ways of Reading: An Anthology for Writers. 6th ed. Boston: St. Martin's, 2002.
- Barr, Rebecca, Michael L. Kamil, Peter Mosenthal, and P. David Pearson. eds. *Handbook of Reading Research Vol. II.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 1996.
- Block, Cathy Collins, Linda B. Gambrell, and Michael Pressley, eds. . *Improving Comprehension Instruction*. San Francisco, CA: Wiley Jossey Bass, 2002.
- Block, Cathy Collins, and Michael Pressley. *Comprehension Instruction: Research-Based Best Practices*. NY: The Guilford Press, 2002.
- Braunger, Jane, and Jan Patricia Lewis. *Building a Knowledge Base in Reading*. Washington DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement, Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English; Newark, DE: International Reading Association. (October 1997). Web. 1 July 2010.
- Deming, Mary P. "Reading and Writing: Making the Connection for Basic Writers." Conference on Basic Writing: Basic Writing e-Journal 2.2 (7 July 2000): n. pag. 1 Jan. 2004.
- Dickson, Marcia. "Learning to Read/Learning to Write." Conference on Basic Writing: Basic Writing e-Journal 1.1 (16 June 1999): n. pag. 1 Jan. 2004.
- \_\_\_\_\_. It's Not Like That Here: Teaching Academic Writing and Reading to Novice Writers. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton-Cook Heinemann, 1995.
- Eckert, Lisa Schade. "Bridging the Pedagogical Gap: Intersections Between Literary and Reading theories in Secondary and Postsecondary Literacy Instruction." *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy* 52 2 (October 2008): 110-18. Print.
- Farr, Roger, Robert Pritchard, and Brian Smitten. "A Description of What Happens When an Examinee Takes a Multiple-Choice Reading Comprehension Test." *Journal of Educational Measurement*. 27. 3 (Autumn 1997): 209-26. Web. July 7, 2011.
- Farstrup, Alan E., and S. Jay Samuels. eds. What Research Has to Say About Reading Instruction, 2nd Edition. Newark, DE: International Reading Association. 1992.
- Franzak, Judith K. "Zoom: A Review of the Literature on Marginalized Adolescent Readers, Literacy, Theory, and Policy Implications." *American Association of Research Association*. (Summer 2006): 209-48. Web. 10 March 2010.
- Forget, M., Nancy Lyle, Maria Spear, and Kim Reinhart-Clark, eds. "Getting All Teachers To Use Reading/Writing To Help Students Learn Subject Matter." Annual Meeting of the International Reading Association, Orlando, FL. 4-8 May 2003: Web. 3 Apr. 2011. Paper.
- Greenleaf, Cynthia L., Ruth Schoenbach, Christine Cziko, and Faye L. Mueller. "Apprenticing Adolescent Readers to Academic Literacy. *Harvard Educational Review* 71.1 (Spring 2001): 79-129. Web. 5 May 2009.
- Henry, Jeanne. If Not Now: Developmental Readers in the College Classroom. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton Cook Heinemann, 1995.
- Helmers, Marguerite J. "Introduction: Representing Reading." *Intertexts*. Ed. Marguerite Helmers. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, 2003.
- Huber, Mary Taylor and Pat Hutchings. The Advancement of Learning: Building the Teaching Commons. San Francisco, CA: Wiley Jossey Bass, 2005.
- Joliffe, David A. "Learning to Read as Continuing Education [Review of books Subjects Matter: Every Teacher's Guide to Content-Area Reading; Intertexts: Reading Pedagogy in College Writing Classrooms; Do I Really Have to Teach Reading? Content Comprehension, Grades 6-12; Teaching Literature as Reflective Practice]." College Composition and Communication 58.3 (2007) 470-94. Print.
- Jolliffe, David A. and Allison Harl. "Texts of Our Institutional Lives: Studying the 'Reading Transition' From High School to College: What Are Our Students Reading and Why?" *College English* 70.6 (2008): 599-617. Print.
- Karolides, Nicholas J. "Theory and Practice: An Interview with Louise M. Rosenblatt." *Language Arts* 77 (1999): 158-170. Web. 12 Mar. 2004.
- Kamil, Michael. Alliance for Excellent Education Washington DC. Adolescents and Literacy Reading for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (November, 2003): 30.
- Keene, Ellin Oliver and Susan Zimmerman. *Mosaic of Thought, The Power of Comprehension Strategy Instruction* 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann, 2007.
- Ketch, Ann. "Conversation: The Comprehension Connection" *The Reading Teacher* 59.1 (Sept. 2005): 8-13. Web. 6 May 2011.
- Kucer, Stephen B., Ed. What Research Really Says About Teaching and Learning to Read. National Council of Teachers of English: Urbana, IL 2008.

- Langer, Judith A. and Elizabeth Close. "Improving Literary Understanding Through Classroom Conversation." Washington DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement. Web. 1 July 2010.
- Lause, Julie. "Using Reading Workshop to Inspire Lifelong Readers." *English Journal.* 93.5 (May 2004) Web. 10 March 2010.
- Little, Judith Warren. "Inside Teacher Community: Representations of Classroom Practice." *Teachers College Record* 105.6 (August 2003): 913-45. Web. 1 Jul. 2010.
- Office of Research and Analysis. National Endowment for the Arts. To Read or Not to Read: A Question of National Consequence. Washington DC, 2007.
- ---. National Endowment for the Arts. Reading at Risk: A Survey of Literary Reading in America. Washington DC, 2002.
- ---. National Endowment for the Arts Survey of Public Participation in the Arts, 2008.
- Pearson, P. David, L.R. Roehler, J.A. Dole, and G.G. Duffy. "Developing Expertise in Reading Comprehension." Rpt. in *What Research Has to Say About Reading Instruction*, 2nd Edition. S. Jay Samuels and Alan Farstrup, eds. Newark, DE: International Reading Association, 1992.
- Rosenblatt, Louise. The Reader The Text The Poem The Transactional Theory of the Literary Work. Carbondale and Edwardsville: Southern IL UP, 1978.
- Salvatori, Mariolina. "Conversation with Texts: Reading in the Teaching of Composition." *College English* 58 (1996): 440-54. Web. 27 Aug. 2005.
- . "Reading and Writing a Text: Correlations between Reading and Writing Patterns." College English 45 (1983): 657-66. Web. 27 Aug. 2005.
- Salvatori, Mariolina Rizzi and Patricia Donahue. *The Elements (and Pleasures) of Difficulty*. New York: Pearson Longman, 2005.
- Shanahan, Timothy. "Reading-Writing Relationships, Thematic Units, Inquiry Learning... In Pursuit of Effective Integrated Literacy Instruction." *The Reading Teacher* 51.1 (September 1997): 12-19. Web. 7 Sept. 2010.
- Spivey, Nancy Nelson. "Transforming Texts: Constructive Processes in Reading and Writing." Washington DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education for the National Center for the Study of Writing and Literacy Technical. Feb 1991. Web. 3 March 2011.
- Stahl, Norman A. and Hunter Boylan. eds. Teaching Developmental Reading. NY: Bedford/St. Martins, 2003.
- Tassoni, John Paul and William H. Thelin. eds. Open Words Access and English Studies, (2009 Spring). Print.
- Tierney, Robert J., and P. David Pearson. *Toward a Composing Model of Reading*. Washington, DC: National Institution of Education. Aug. 1983. Web. 1 July 2010.
- Zamel, Vivian. "Writing One's Way Into Reading." TESOL Quarterly 26.3 (Autumn 1992): 463-85. Web. 10 Oct. 2011.